

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

DIANA ZOE ELLIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, et al,

Defendants.

Case No. C06-5312RBL

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO PROCEED IN  
FORMA PAUPERIS

Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. [Dkt. #1]

The district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the court has broad discretion in denying an application to proceed in forma pauperis. *Weller v. Dickson*, 314 F.2d 598 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1963), *cert. denied* 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Additionally, notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, the court may dismiss a case at any time if it determines that (A) the allegation of poverty is untrue, or (B) the action is (i) frivolous or malicious, or (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A) and (B).

Here, the Plaintiff seeks to assert three claims against Governor Gregoire, including “falsification of state records, fraud and theft.” Plaintiff apparently disagrees with what appear to be official transcripts from Washington’s Community College System from the 1980s. Plaintiff has made no showing of this court’s jurisdiction over her claims, and none is apparent from the face of her various filings.

1 Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis.

2 DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of June, 2006.

3  
4   
5 RONALD B. LEIGHTON  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28